1 The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 9 10 Case No. 18-cv-00525-RSL ADRIENNE BENSON and MARY SIMONSON, individually and on behalf of all 11 others similarly situated, STIPULATED MOTION AND 12 ORDER RE PLAINTIFFS' Plaintiffs, MOTION TO COMPEL 13 PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO THIRTEEN ν. 14 **REQUESTS** 15 DOUBLE DOWN INTERACTIVE, LLC, a Washington limited liability company, and 16 INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY, 17 a Nevada corporation, and IGT, a Nevada corporation, 18 19 **Defendants** 20 In their Motion to Compel Production of Documents Responsive to Thirteen Requests, 21 Dkt. #381, Plaintiffs noted that the Plaintiffs and Defendant Double Down Interactive, LLC had 22 discussed compromises regarding RFP Nos. 50 and 78 to Double Down. See Dkt. #381 at 7 n.2, 23 11 n.6. The parties have now reached a complete agreement regarding RFP No. 78 and a partial 24 agreement as to RFP No. 50. 25 Specifically, in response to RFP No. 78, Double Down has agreed to produce all non-26 privileged, responsive documents on or before August 13, 2021. In response to RFP No. 50, 27 STIPULATION AND ORDER -CASE NO. EDELSON PC

Plaintiffs and Double Down have agreed on revised search terms, and Double Down has agreed to produce all non-privileged, responsive documents that do not contain foreign language content on or before August 24, 2021. (The parties continue to dispute DoubleDown's production obligations as to non-privileged documents responsive to RFP No. 50 that contain foreign language content). Based on these agreements, Plaintiffs have agreed to partially withdraw their Motion to Compel, Dkt. #381, specifically with respect to RFP Nos. 78 (in full) and 50 (in part). Plaintiffs and Double Down agree that there is good cause to withdraw Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel with respect to these two Requests, and agree that this stipulation does not apply to any of the other Requests included in Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel, Dkt. #381. Therefore, Plaintiffs and Double Down request that the Court enter the attached Proposed Order.

1	Dated: August 16, 2021	Respectfully submitted,
2		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
3		ADRIENNE BENSON and MARY SIMONSON individually and on behalf of all others similarly
4		situated,
5		By: /s/ Todd Logan
6		Rafey S. Balabanian* rbalabanian@edelson.com
7		Todd Logan* tlogan@edelson.com
8		Brandt Silver-Korn*
9		bsilverkorn@edelson.com EDELSON PC
10		150 California Street, 18th Floor San Francisco, California 94111
11		Tel: 415.212.9300/Fax: 415.373.9435
12		By: /s/ Alexander G. Tievsky
13		Jay Edelson* jedelson@edelson.com
14		Alexander G. Tievsky, WSBA #57125
15		atievsky@edelson.com EDELSON PC
16		350 N LaSalle Street, 14th Floor Chicago, IL 60654
17		Tel: 312.589.6370 / Fax: 312.589.6378
		By: /s/ Cecily C. Shiel
18		Cecily C. Shiel, WSBA #50061 cshiel@tousley.com
19		TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC
20		1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700 Seattle, Washington 98101
21		Tel: 206.682.5600
22		*Admitted pro hac vice
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

1	Dated: August 16, 2021	Respectfully submitted,
2	<i>5</i> - 7 - 1	Attorneys for Double Down Interactive, LLC
3		
4		By: /s/ Stuart R. Dunwoody
5		DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
6		Jaime Drozd Allen, WSBA #35742
7		Stuart R. Dunwoody, WSBA #13948 Lindsey Mundt, WSBA # 49394
8		Benjamin J. Robbins, WSBA # 53376 920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300
		Seattle, WA 98104
9		Telephone: 206-757-8039 Fax: 206-757-7039
10		E-mail: jaimeallen@dwt.com
11		E-mail: stuartdunwoody@dwt.com
12		Email: lindseymundt@dwt.com E-mail: benrobbins@dwt.com
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	The stipulated motion is GRANTED .
3	In response to RFP No. 78, Double Down shall produce all non-privileged,
4	responsive documents on or before August 13, 2021.
5	In response to RFP No. 50, subject to the Parties' agreement to narrowed search
6	terms, Double Down shall (i) produce all non-privileged, responsive documents that do not
7	contain foreign language content on or before August 24, 2021.
8	Because Plaintiffs have withdrawn their Motion to Compel with respect to RFP No.
9	78 (in full) and 50 (in part, specifically with respect to documents that do not contain
10	foreign language content), those portions of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel, Dkt. #381, are
11	DENIED AS MOOT. However, Dkt. #381 remains pending as to all other Requests.
12	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	
15	DATED this 17th day of August, 2021.
16	$M \sim C / M$
17	MMS Casnik
18	ROBERT S. LASNIK
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	